

Appl. No. 10/707,060  
Amdt. dated 04/06/2005  
Reply to Office action of 03/18/2005


### REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

The Applicant thanks the Office for the careful consideration given to his application in the communication mailed 03/18/2005. In that communication, claims 1 – 7 were allowed, claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller. Claims 9 – 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Grant. Claims 12 – 17 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected claim base, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

In this Amendment, Applicant hereby cancels rejected claims 8 – 11. Applicant has also rewritten claim 12 in independent form. The following claims depend from claim 12, and so now should be allowable.

Applicant suggests that all remaining claims are allowable, and respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: April 6, 2005  
Tel. No. 603-766-1910  
Fax No. 603-766-1901

Phillip E. Decker, Reg. No. 39,163  
Attorney for Applicant  
1 New Hampshire Ave., Suite 125  
Portsmouth, NH 03801